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AGNIESZKA TOMAS (LA UW)

MUNICIPIUM NOVENSIUM?

REPORT ON THE FIELD SURVEY AT OSTRITE MOGILI, VELIKO TURNOVO DISTRICT
(PL. 121-132)

Since 1964, when B. Gerov published the inscription mentioning m(unicipium) N(oventium), discussion on the localisation of the municipality of Novae has been continuing. The altar bearing the inscription was found on the eastern annex of Novae, not far from the defence walls, which are dated as soon as the last quarter of the 3rd century A.D.1. Thirty years later, in the Graeco-Roman town of Nicopolis ad Istrum placed about 50 km south from Novae, another interesting find came to the light: the altar erected by two priests of Mater Deorum and Liber Pater and dedicated vexillum Novesium Oppianorum. The altar is dated by the editor at A.D. 212 or 215.2. The interpretation of the vexillum is very difficult and doubtful. It can be both interpreted as an organization or its standards; a group of veterans, craftsmen or other collegium or most probably, a religious association.3 The connection with collegium of military function is also possible. The presence of such "militiae" is attested in Balkan provinces from the end of the 2nd century. The written sources can be again compared with new archaeological data obtained within last few years.

Topography of the castra surroundings

Within the radius of 2 km around the military camp the following settlements and settlement traces were localised (Fig. 1): the canabae legionis extended 100-300 m around the camp4 with the peristyle villa5, cemeteries6, pottery and brick kilns7, a sanctuary of eastern deities8, harbour9, aqueducts10 and roads11. All these elements are typical for majority of military camps surroundings on the Rhein and Danube limes.12 The territory with infrastructure of the nearest vicinity of the camp, which was de facto under military control, or even was used by the legio13, had probably the status of ager publicus.14

1 GEROV 1964 (1980) = AE 1964, 224 = IGLNov 39 (Novae); Dee sanctae / Placidii / Iulius Statilius augustalis / m(unicipii) N(oventium) ex su(o) possit n(ominis) m(uni) / = KOLENDO 1969; dating according to Kolendo (IGLNov) A.D. 180-250. Building and sacral activity of augustales is attested by many inscriptions from settlements placed near forts, cf. p. ex. from Brigetio (BARKÓCZI 1954 = AE 1944, 110; 108; CIL III 10972; 11042 and AE 1962, 43; AE 1997, 1267) or Apulum (AE 1996, 1278 = IDR V/2, 534; CIL III 976 = IDR V/1, 7; CIL III 1082 = IDR V/1, 203).
4 TSUROY 1995b.
5 SHA, Gall. duo, 8.6; cf. AE 1937, 194, Aquincum: I(ovi) Op(ius?) Maximus / Libera P(atri) / Ael(ius) Annius / pro salute sua / et vexillari(um) / co(legii) ce-net(narum) Ulpianus / Victorius / Aurelius Antonius / Dub(u)etc. Florentinus / Velicetius / Septimus / Var(o) / (ovius) / (secretarius) / Iulius / (ovius) / (etius) / (iunianus) / (militium).
6 According to the editor, the vexillum could be a fire-brigade (TSUROY 1995a, 11), but cf. AE, ad no. 1362. L. Oppius Maximus raised the altar in for Mater Deum in Novae (IGLNov 34). Comparing the inscription from Aquincum (see above, note 5), there could be a connection between vexillum and the cult of Liber Pater. The members of Oppii family appear in canabae Durostorum (CIL III, 7474, Cn. Oppius Setiticibus and Oppius Severus).
7 GEROV 1980c. From the 3rd century, both religious and craftsmen collegia, are called numeri. Cf. SZAJJERMAN 1960: 413.
11 SARNOWSKI 1976: 62, fig. 1, 2; MITOVA-DJONOVA 1966; VULO 1966.
12 NAYDENOVA 1990; idem 1999.
13 SARNOWSKI 1996.
14 STEFANOV 1930; BIERNACKA-LUBAŃSKA 1997.
15 STEFANOV 1956: 72, fig. 77; CONRAD, STANCHEV 2002: 676; also unpublished research by P. Dyck in 2000.
17 VITTINGHOFF 1974.
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21 According to Gerov (GEROV 1977: 300-301), the presence of "civil" inscriptions in the vicinity of Novae, the regular parcelling of the area south from the castra is probable. However the biggest separate settlement is placed about 2.5 km east from the camp in the place Ostrie Mogili. Its range has been discussed for the last thirty years.

Previous research on the site of Ostrie Mogili

For the first time the site was investigated in 1948 by S. Stefanov. In 1961 the Polish medieval archaeologists searching for Slavian settlements in the Svishtov region, localised three sites in the locality of Ostrie Mogili (Fig. 2). All these places are within one big site, situated between a small hill from the east and a little valley (dere) from the west. In 1961 and 1962, 500 m east from the valley, next to the tumuli in the place Chifilshikite nivi, a Roman cemetery dated to the 3rd century A.D. was discovered. In the autumn 1979 the first field survey using planigraphy method was carried by T. Sar- 

Nowski. In May and June 1990 Bulgarian archaeologists from Gradski Istoriichesky Musey (Historical Museum) in Svishtov carried another field survey and excavations in a few points within the site. Traces of the wood-earth building were discovered, as also hand mills, metal objects and animal bones. Characteristic dispersion of material was noticed: in the eastern part of the site quantity of Roman and early Byzantine material was significant, while in the western part, medieval pottery was in majority. In the spring of 2000 the field survey in the vicinity of Novae were carried by P. Dyczek. The planigraphy of Ostrie Mogili was repeated again. The following paper presents the investigations from 1979 and 2000.
**Topography of the site**

The site is placed on the high Danube bank, on the flat terrace, slightly falling to the north, on the height of 36 m to 50 m above the sea level (Fig. 2). Now fields, divided by the road, are parcelled and cultivated by the private owners from Svishtov (Fig. 3). The archaeological material is visible in big quantities on the whole area of 15 ha. It is possible that some settlement traces, at least cemetery, are placed also west from Ostrite Mogili, where parcels are fenced, covered with private buildings and extensively cultivated. In 1979 the survey were carried in direction east-west by 4 to 6 persons going in stripes 5 m wide and registering finds every 5 m in a line. Counted material included debris (stones, bricks and tiles, mortar), pottery and bones. Some finds were documented in detail. The surveyed area covered about 7.5 ha and 155 finds were drawn and described.

In 2000 the survey were carried in direction south north by 6 to 9 persons and two others with metal detectors. Stripes had a width of 5 m in the western part and 2.5 m in the eastern part of the site, where the material is exclusively dense. Respectively, every 5 or 2.5 m debris (stones, bricks and tiles, mortar, glass windows), pottery, glass objects, bones and metal finds were registered. The area covered with the survey was 10.5 ha. Almost 2000 finds were documented.

It seems that in the case of the site rich in archaeological material from different periods, strongly eroding in some parts (here eastern), used method has several faults. In the area, where the debris was accumulated, the researchers were made to estimate their quantity, which could have caused creation of non-existing condensations (in stripes!), as the estimations are made individually. Moreover, in the areas where bricks and pottery sherds where mixed with stones, it is extremely important to notify the crumbling of materials. In every case, the features of terrain and the character of cultivation has a big influence on visibility. The same method used twice on the same site, but in different direction showed all the possible faults, but also allowed to verify information and indicate real concentrations.

Collected data were the basis for 5 maps of material dispersion: pottery and building materials from 1979 and 2000 and one with small finds from 2000.

**Pottery and glass**

In 2000, the difference in density of material in the western and eastern part was confirmed. In 1979, the quantity of Slavian pottery in the western part was significant, but during the research in 2000 the concentration disappeared. The concentrations of pottery registered in 1979 and 2000 do not cover (Fig. 6). In 2000 the little concentrations of glass slag was noticed in the western part. The 80% of vessel pieces are dated to the 2nd-3rd centuries A.D. (Fig. 7), including big quantity of local

---

8 According to S. Conrad the site covers the area placed eastward, surrounding the valley and including the field south from the road. Cf. CONRAD 2006: fig. 12. In 2000, we had not registered significant materials south from the road.

9 But three tumuli are marked here on the modern Russian military maps from 1984.

10 MAZUROWSKI 1971. The method was used by T. Sarnowski on the site of Kunla cheshma (villa southeast from Novae) in 1978; cf. SARNOWSKI 1979.

11 POUFTER 2004: 9 sq.

12 In 2000. In 1979, measures from the characteristic points were made.

13 Localization of the finds from 2000 was inventoried in a scheme: number of the stripe (from the east to the west), number of the square (from the south to the north) and the number of the person in a line, p. ex. stripe 1, square 10, no. 2. The only stripe 12A (north from the stripes 12, 13, 14 and perpendicular to them) was searched from the west to the east. The mass finds have only localization in stripes. Cf. Fig. 6.
Moesian *sigillata* produced in pottery workshops placed around *Nicopolis ad Istrum* (Fig. 14). Only seven sherds come from imported *sigillata* vessels (Fig. 13. 4, 7), among them two or three of Western origin47. Fragments of local vessels, both hand-made or wheel-turned (Fig. 13. 1, 2), have analogies on Geto-Dacian sites48. However, their dating is very doubtful, because such vessels were produced on the Lower Danube area from pre-Roman, Roman to late Roman times49. Parallel sherds come even from workshops in *Nicopolis* region50. An example of interesting fusion of local tradition with a new technology used in the local pottery centres is a cup ornamented with a finger-squeezed appliqué (Fig. 14. 2). In contrary, the Late Roman table/kitchen pottery was almost unnoticeable. The only piece of a big dish ornamented with a concave rosette motive in the middle (Fig 13. 9) probably comes from imported LR *sigillata*. Fragment of a greyish-fired dish covered with dark grey coating and brown stripes is a single sherd of this type (Fig. 13. 8). Vessels made in similar technology were found in *Nicopolis*51, where appear in layers dated from the 2nd and 3rd to the 6th century. One fragment is identical with the rim from Ostrite Mogili, but it was found in a mixed layer52. According to R. K. Falkner such pottery reminds terra *sigillata grise*, but its dating is broader. Vast majority of the Late Roman pottery are amphorae and cooking vessels dated to the 4th century A.D. Cooking vessels are mainly big deep dishes and pots produced in local workshops, which survived after the Gothic invasions in the middle of 3rd century. The fragments of amphorae are numerous, but the Early Roman ones come from numerous types. The most significant group is Zeest 93 type53 and local amphorae with flat handles produced from the second half of the 2nd century A.D.54. Frequent are also fragments of small earthenware with turned handles made of fabric similar to that used in local workshops55. The other types, among them many from the Black Sea coast centres, were also noticed. Late Roman amphorae are represented mainly by LRA 1 or 2 and similar to them – Kouzmanov XV56. Fragments of glass vessels are present on the whole area. They come from bowls, jugs and stemmed goblets (Fig. 13. 10-12), which have analogies in *Novae*, and undoubtedly were produced at the site. The vessels are dated to 2nd – 4th centuries, although one fragment may come from a jug dated to the 6th century A.D. Almost all the pottery and glass vessels have analogies in *Novae, Nicopolis* and *Iatrus*. Relatively big group are fragments of big hand-made jars with the S-profiled body and incised ornament of horizontal and waved lines (Fig. 18. 5-7). These are fragments of early medieval pottery appearing on the Slavian sites, both in Bulgaria57 and Romania, for example on the other side of Danube, at Fintirele, 10 km west from Zimnicea58. The last group of sherds comes from „sgrafito” pottery dated to the 12th–14th centuries59. But the most significant are fragments from the Roman period.

### Building materials

The next group of registered materials are stones, fragments of bricks or tiles, mortar and glass windows60. During the first survey made in 1979 the concentration of debris was noticed in the eastern part in general. In 2000 the concentrations registered in the eastern part, where debris is very numerous, were regular. Also some small concentrations in the south-western were noticed (Fig. 8). Fragments of glass windows and big stone slabs were only in the eastern part of the site. The bigger quantity of stone and mortar was also notified here. Eight stamped bricks and tiles were found, among them four legionary ones61 (Fig. 15. 2, 3). One stamped brick comes

---

43 **SOULTOV** 1983.
44 One fragment of south Gaulish sigillata from Flavian period, found during the excavations of P. Donevski in 1990, was published by **DIMITROVA-MILCEVA** (2002, no. 162, Taf. 10). Cf. above, note 33.
45 **SCORPAN** 1970 and cited literature; **Styren** 1980: 48-52, list of analogies no. 4.
46 **SCORPAN** 1970; idem 1973, especially fig. 1.
47 **SOULTOV** 1983: tab. XIX, XX, 3.
48 **HAYES** 1972: style A, fig. 41; cf. **BÖTTGER** 1982: 63.
49 **FALKNER** 1999: 85, ware 78.
50 **FALKNER** 1999: fig. 9.46, no. 993.
52 **SOULTOV** 1983: 74, type 1, tab. XXXIV, 3.
53 **DYCZEK** 1999: 205-209, type 34.
54 **BJELJAJAC** 1996: type XIX and XX; **KOZMANOV** 1985: type XIII, XV, XIX.
55 **OLCZAK** 1995.
56 **BÖTTGER** 1982; **BÖTTGER** 1991; **BÖTTGER** 1995.
57 **VUZHAROVA** 1976.
58 **COMŞA** 1969.
59 **DOLMOVA** 1985.
60 Some years ago, the resting of stone structures were still visible. In 2000, there was no traces of walls on the surface.
61 Published stamp from Ostrite Mogili: [LEG][I][IT][AL] inv. no. 1/79c, **SARNOWSKI** 1997: 498-499; on the site were also found: 1 stamp of the legio I Minervia, 1 stamp with a boat, other stamps of the legio I Italica, and Late Roman LEPIFI-COR type stamps, as well as private stamps; cf. **IVANOV** 2002: 119, 123, fig. 87.
from the destroyed grave localized in the southwestern part\(^\text{66}\) (Fig. 16. 6). The other stamped bricks or tiles were probably produced in non-military workshops. Three of them have a shorting C AT and C ANT MAG (Fig. 15. 5-7). According to T. Sarnowski the shorting should be probably read C(ai)s A[n]ton(ius) Mag(nus), or rather (opus) C(ai)s A[n]ton(ii) Mag(ni), or simply C(ai)s A[n]ton-ni(tus) Mag(ni)\(^\text{67}\). One piece of a brick with a stamp P CP, has no analogues in tegular material from Novae and its surroundings (Fig. 15. 4).

Other finds

During the first research in 1979, some small finds were registered, but they were not numerous. In 2000 all the small finds were charted (Fig. 9). These are coins, adornments, terracotta and lamps, glass vessels, weapon, metal tools, weaving weights, hand mills and additionally bones and glass production waste. All these materials were put on the map at scale 1:3000 with hope to find some additional information. In the eastern part of the site the coin of Elagabalus was found, as also majority of arrow spikes, weaving weights and hand mills. In the western part, the early medieval material is more noticeable: both pottery and small finds and twelve coins. But also here, the terracotta eagle and a coin of Probus (*antoninianus*) were found. In the southwestern part of the site, not far from the present road, a destroyed, robbed grave was localized. Some small finds are presented below\(^\text{68}\).

**Coins.** Among 23 coins, as many as 20 pieces are medieval – mostly concaved bronze coins. The only anonymous Byzantine *follis* comes from 10th-11th century\(^\text{69}\). Three coins are Roman: one is *antoninianus* of Probus from A.D. 277\(^\text{70}\) and severely polished bronze of Elagabalus minted in *Pautalia* dated to A.D. 218-222\(^\text{71}\). The third, damaged bronze coin is probably of local emission from the half of the 3rd century A.D.\(^\text{72}\)

**Terracotta eagle.** The figure is made of fine fabric covered with reddish coating, similar to terracotta figures produced in *Nicopolis* area workshops\(^\text{73}\). The place of finding suggests that it may have come from a grave\(^\text{74}\).

**Metal finds.** The weapons are mainly arrow spikes of forms known from *Novae* (Fig. 17. 1-3)\(^\text{75}\). These are pieces quite popular in the Central-Eastern Europe up to the medieval period. Other metal objects are bronze ring, spur, fragments of bronze coverings and iron tools, which cannot be surely dated to the Roman period (Fig. 17. 4-6). A small fragment of bronze fibula was also found in the western part of the site, but it was very badly preserved.

**Glass bracelets.** More than 10 pieces of glass bracelets were documented. Majority of them are made of dark blue glass; the only one is reddish-black (Fig. 18. 1-3). The analogies mainly come from medieval sites\(^\text{76}\), although several pieces dated to the Roman period were also found in *Novae*\(^\text{77}\).

**Fragment of a votive plate.** In 1979, fragment of a marble votive plate was found (Fig. 17. 7). Almost undoubtedly it belonged to the representation of the Thracian raider. The cult is attested in *Novae* and its closest surroundings\(^\text{78}\).

**Bones.** Bones were counted both in 1979 and 2000. During the first survey they were regularly dispersed on the whole site. In 2000 bones were registered in several concentrations (Fig. 9). Mostly these were animal ones, but one human bone was also found in the eastern part. As we are convinced that in the Roman period there were situated buildings, not a cemetery, the human bone could have been brought from the other place by animals or come from modern times, including wars in 19th and 20th centuries.

**Chronology**

The earliest traces of settlement registered in this area are dated to the Neolithic period\(^\text{79}\). There are no

---

\(^{62}\) Similar stamps were found in debris layer of *valetudinarium*, but their dating is doubtful; cf. \textsc{Sarnowski} 1983: no. VI 80.

\(^{63}\) Similar interpretation by \textsc{Gerox} 1980b. Cf. \textsc{Cil.} III 144642 (*Dywny*) = \textsc{Gerox} 1954: no. 349; \textsc{Cil.} III 7561 (*Svishtov*) = \textsc{Gerox} 1954: no. 534; \textsc{Rakeva-Morfova} 1970: 39; \textsc{Sarnowski} 1983: fig. 18, no. XXVI-4, fig. 19, no. XXV5 (*Novae*). I am grateful to Prof. T. Sarnowski, who with I consulted regular material.

\(^{64}\) Many small finds are not mentioned here, among them lamps, weaving tools, stone artefacts. The complex publication will be presented in Novensia.

\(^{65}\) See cat. no. 46.

\(^{66}\) See cat. no. 33. The coins were identified by P. Jaworski, who I am very grateful.

\(^{67}\) See cat. no. 34. The legend is unreadable; cf. \textsc{Moushmov} 1912: 231 sq.

\(^{68}\) See cat. no. 35.

\(^{69}\) \textsc{Soulto} 1983: 93, tab. LI, 4-7.

\(^{70}\) Many burials in the area contain terracotta figures produced in Butovo-Pavlikeni workshops; cf. \textsc{Vulov} 1965.

\(^{71}\) \textsc{Gacuta} 1987: 167, tab. XXXII.

\(^{72}\) P. \textsc{ex.} \textsc{Ovcharov}, \textsc{Hadjiyeva} 1990: fig. 68.

\(^{73}\) \textsc{Olczak} 1998: 54.

\(^{74}\) \textsc{Kolendo} 1991.

\(^{75}\) \textsc{Hensel} 1965: 281-284.
significant traces of pre-Roman settlement here\textsuperscript{7}, although some Thracian pottery fragments were found east from the site\textsuperscript{8}. Majority of finds are dated to the 2nd-3rd centuries A.D. (Fig. 10). These materials are also the most differentiated in forms and function. The grave from the south-western part comes from this period, too (Fig. 16, 1-4). Second group comes from early medieval (8th-11th centuries A.D.) and medieval (12th-14th centuries A.D.)\textsuperscript{9}. The third group are materials from Late Roman period, which consists of cooking pottery, amphorae and some glass vessels. The settlement could have existed in some form then, as some pottery sherds and stamped bricks/tiles from this period were notified\textsuperscript{10}.

**Remarks on the topography of civil settlements placed at Roman forts**

Comparison of settlement patterns around Roman forts along the provincial borders on Rhine, Danube and Britain made by Romanian researcher I. Piso, suggests that majority of civil settlements analogetic to the Ostrite Mogili site were situated between the borderline set at the distance of 2.22 km around the camp (Fig. 11). This is a distance suitable to the ancient measure of *leuga*\textsuperscript{11}. The area of the settlements, which received the municipal status reaches most frequently some tens hectares\textsuperscript{12}. The settlement placed 2.5 km east from the *castra legionis I Italicae* (Fig. 1) is relatively small with its 15 ha\textsuperscript{13}, even if one takes into account the possible erosion of the river bank\textsuperscript{14}. In comparison the estimated area of *canabae* is 70-80 ha\textsuperscript{15}. The total area has to be reduced by the probable cemetery in south-western part of the site, or even little further along the road, as the cemetery continues east from the site. Maybe the area between the borderline set by *leuga* and the place, where the western side of settlement was localised, also belongs to the site. Unfortunately that sector is covered with private estates and intensively cultivated gardens. Anyway, possible another 6 ha could be added, which still doesn't make the site significant in size. There was no distinct traces of settlement in the area south from the present road Svishtov-Vardim.

The maps of density of finds correlated with the topography of the site gave some outline of the settlement. Taking into account Roman traditions in topography of villages and small towns\textsuperscript{16}, as well as comparison with other investigated sites of this type\textsuperscript{17}, one can try to localize some elements of the settlement (Fig. 12). The course of the road along the Danube is almost identical as the ancient one\textsuperscript{18}. The location of a cemetery alongside, east and west of Ostrite Mogili is also certain. Dense building up with perpendicular streets is possible, especially in the eastern part\textsuperscript{19}. The other elements of infrastructure and building covering are only hypothetical. The finds of legionary bricks/tiles can suggest the presence of a building raised by provincial administration, including roadside post or customhouse\textsuperscript{20}. One cannot exclude a possibility of secondary use of stamped bricks or other reason of their presence on civil settlement\textsuperscript{21}. The findings of glass production waste, especially on the western part of the site, can indicate that some glass furnaces were placed here, simultaneously to these found in Novae, dated to the 3rd-7th century A.D.\textsuperscript{22}

**The significance of the Ostrite Mogili settlement**

The civil settlement placed at Ostrite Mogili played some quite noteworthy role at least to the half of the 3rd century A.D. The significantly small amount of the Late Roman artefacts is a testimony of the abandonment or destruction of the settlement in this period. Maybe the process of abandonment began some years earlier, when the reforms of Septimus Severus allowing soldiers living in *canabae* were introduced and the settlements around

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Footnotes} & \\
\hline
7 & The vast majority of civil settlements raised on the territories conquered by Romans was located on the plain fields, in places different from the pre-Roman settlements; cf. PETRIKOVITS 1977: 94-95. Traces of Late Bronze and Late Iron Age settlement were notified east from the camp; cf. CHICHIKOVA 1980: 60-63; PRESS, SARNOWSKI 1987: 292-293. \\
8 & DONEVSKI 1991: 96; CONRAD 2006, 312-313, fig. 4. \\
9 & A few sherds dated to this period were found also west from Vardim in 2000. \\
10 & CONRAD, STANCHEV 2002; CONRAD 2003; see n. 56. \\
11 & The term extra *leugam* is attested by three inscriptions from Pfaffenberg near Carnuntum; cf. PISO 1991 (AE 1982: 777-778; CIL III, 14358). \\
12 & PETRIKOVITS 1977: 94; PISO 1991. \\
13 & PETRIKOVITS: loc.cit.
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Footnotes} & \\
\hline
7 & Ibidem; LIPA 2000: 136, fig. 1. \\
8 & CONRAD, STANCHEV 2002: 674. \\
9 & VITRUV., VI, 1; TAC., Ger. 1, 16: *Vicus locant non in nostrum morem conexit et cohaerentibus aedificiis: suam quisque domum spatii circumdat, sive adversus casus ignis remedium sive in circuita aedificandi.* \\
10 & P. ex. LENGYEL, RADAN 1980: fig. 21 (*Aquincum*); HORN 1987: fig. 542 (*Xantion*). \\
11 & CONRAD, STANCHEV: loc.cit. \\
12 & CF. HESBERG, ZANKER 1997. \\
13 & CF. PETRIKOVITS 1977: 102; VITTINGHOFF 1974: 121-122. Stamped bricks and tiles were also used in graves, also in cemeteries extra *leugam*. \\
14 & MASON 1988: 167. \\
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
the camp had been growing up. But it seems, that the topography of *castra* surroundings are strictly connected with the presence of custom border east from *Novae*. The settlement placed the closer to the border could have profited most, thus the civil settlement near *Novae* is orientated west (Fig. 1). In addition, the need for safety, which could be given by the camp, caused systematic attraction of civilians. This corresponds with the building of walls of so-called eastern annex of *Novae*. Such nucleation of civil and military sites is typical for the Lower Danube area in that period.

The question is which of these two sites received the municipal status and when. The answer seems to be not clear and has to wait for the further discoveries. Adherents of municipalization of *canabae* can use some arguments including the lack of traces of secondary use on the altar erected by Iulius Statilis. Even if these facts are coincidental, the fact is, that the epigraphic data mentioning *municipium* *Novensium* or *origo Nov.* (if they refer to *Novae* on the Lower Danube) are dated as early as the beginning of 3rd century A.D. According to L. Mrozewicz, who researched the population of *Novae*, the municipalization of the civil settlement could have been at the time of Marcus Aurelius, but the analysis of the names is very doubtful. Anyway, the inhabitants of the settlement at Ostrite Mogili most probably had a big chance to become a population of *municipium* – nevertheless where it was located.

**Catalogue**

(description of fabric: finds from 1979 according to T. Sarnowski; finds from 2000 according to A. Tomas; about description of localization in inventory, see note 35)

1 – fragment of a hand-made vessel, body-sherd, fabric with medium-grained inclusions, medium quantity of mica and lime, slightly porous structure, hard, rough surface, colour 2.5YR6/6, applied ornament; inv. no. 5/32/00m (Fig. 13. 1);

2 – fragment of a hand-made vessel, wheel turned after modelling, body-sherd, fabric with medium-grained inclusions, medium quantity lime and sand, porous structure, hard, rough surface, colour from 5YR6/4 to 10YR5/4, ornament applied; inv. no. 4/4/00m (Fig. 13. 2);

3 – fragment of a *terra sigillata* vessel, fine fabric without inclusions; colour of fabric 5YR6/4, coating 5YR5/6; inv. no. 35/00w; stripe 19, separated from mass finds (Fig. 13. 3);

4 – rim of a bowl, fine fabric without inclusions, medium hard, fabric beige 5YR6/4, moderate surface, inside coating slightly lighter than outside 2.5YR5/6; inv. no. 1/60/00m (Fig. 13. 4);

5 – rim of a bowl type *Conspectus* 1990 form 8, fine fabric with small amount of tiny lime, several quartz grits, slightly porous, hard, fabric greyish-beige 2.5YR5/6, dark red glittering thick coating 2.5YR4/8; inv. no. 2/8/00m (Fig. 13. 5);

6 – rim of a bowl type Drag. 35, relief ornament, dark red, thick coating; inv. no. 33/79w (Fig. 13. 6);

7 – rim of a bowl type *Conspectus* 1990 form 7, fine fabric with several small inclusions of mica, rather dense structure, hard, rough surface, fabric beige 7.5YR7/6, coating red with reddish tinge 5YR5/6-5/8; inv. no. 9/9/00m (Fig. 13. 7);

8 – rim of a dish, fabric rather dense, several tiny lime inclusions, colour of fabric 5Y5/1, surface 2.5Y5/1, inside painted brown strips; inv. no. 18/00w; stripes 22, 23, from mass finds (Fig. 13. 8);

---

**Note:**


2 MROZEWICZ 1982: 83-84.

3 PANAIETE, MAGUREANU 2002: 159.

4 GEROV 1977: 300.

5 CIL VI, 32627; cf. SARNOWSKI 1984: 228, n. 30.

6 MROZEWICZ 1982: 83-84.

9 – bottom of a dish with concave ornament, fabric with medium-grained sand inclusion, small amount of lime and mica, slightly porous, fabric grey 5YS/1, thick matt coating of brownish-purple colour 10YR5/1-4/1; inv. no. 1/145/00m (Fig. 13. 9);

10 – stem of a stemmed goblet, sea-green glass, small amount of bubbles; inv. no. 40/00w; stripe 17, separated from mass finds (Fig. 13. 10);

11 – stem from a stemmed goblet, translucent, non-coloured glass; inv. no. 57/00w; stripe 10-12, separated from mass finds (Fig. 13. 11);

12 – rim of a jug, sea-green glass, big amount of long bubbles, surface moderate; inv. no. 17/1a/00m (Fig. 13. 12);

13 – fragment of a cup, fabric with small amount of tiny inclusions, several small grits of lime, dense, hard, colour of fabric 5YR6/4, coating 2.5YR4/6, ornament applied; inv. no. 3A/71/00m (Fig. 14. 1);

14 – fragment of a cup, fabric with tiny inclusions, small quantity of tiny mica and lime, dense, hard, crumbly coating, colour of fabric 2.5Y7/2, coating 5YR6/8, ornament applied; inv. no. 1/141/00m (Fig. 14. 2);

15 – rim of a bowl, fabric as 14, colour of fabric 5YR6/6, coating 2.5YR5/8, ornament applied; inv. no. 7/13/00m (Fig. 14. 3);

16 – rim of a bowl, fabric as 15, ornament applied, colour of fabric 7.5YR6/4, coating 10R5/8; inv. no. 7/4/00m (Fig. 14. 4);

17 – rim of a bowl, fine fabric with tiny inclusions, several lime, dense, hard, moderate surface, crumble coating, colour of fabric 5YR7/6, coating 5YR6/6; inv. no. 1/168/00m (Fig. 14. 5);

18 – rim of a censer, fabric with medium-grained inclusions, surface porous, cream-coloured, additional appliqué on the rim; inv. no. 134/79w (Fig. 14. 6);

19 – rim of a jug, fine fabric without inclusions, dense, hard, surface moderate, colour of fabric beige, crumble coating, orange; inv. no. 2/kl/00m (Fig. 14. 7);

20 – rim of an amphora, fabric with big amount of medium-grained inclusions of sand and red grits, big amount of medium-sized mica flecks, porous, soft, colour 7.5YR7/4; inv. no. 3/24/00m (Fig. 14. 8);

21 – rim of a coarse bowl, fabric with medium-grained inclusions of sand and red grits, medium quantity of lime inclusions, rather dense, fabric 7.5YR2.5/1, surface 2.5Y4/2; inv. no. 3/30/00m (Fig. 14. 9);

22 – fragments of glass windows, non-coloured glass; inv. no. 6/00w; east from stripe 24, beyond searched area (Fi. 15. 1);

23 – stamp on a brick/tile [LEG]II[TAL]; inv. no. 1/79c (Fig. 15. 2);

24 – stamp [LEG]I ITAL on a brick/tile; inv. no. 1/00c; stripe 24, 33, no. 5 (Fig. 15. 3);

25 – stamp [PC]P on a brick/tile; inv. no. 2/00c; stripe 24, by the road sq. 1 (Fig. 15. 4);

26 – stamp [CATON MAG] on a brick/tile; inv. no. 3/00c; stripe 15, sq. 13, no. 2 (Fig. 15. 5);

27 – stamp [CATON MAG] on a brick/tile; inv. no. 4/kl/00m; western part of the site, no accurate localization (Fig. 15. 6);

28 – stamp on a brick/tile C ATON [MAG]; inv. no. 2/79c (Fig. 15. 7);

29 – rim of mortarium, coarse fabric with big amount of sand and mica, several big grits of lime, porous structure, rough surface, hard, colour orange-beige; inv. no. GR/1/00 (Fig. 16. 1);

30 – rim of a bowl, fabric with big amount of tiny mica and several inclusions of lime, rather dense, moderate, hard, covered with slightly crumbling coating, fabric orange-beige, surface orange, ornament applied; inv. no. GR/2/00 (Fig. 16. 2);

31 – fragment of a jug with handle, fabric as 30, soft, powdery surface, fabric beige, covered with light brown, crumbling coating; inv. no. GR/3/00 (Fig. 16. 3);

32 – fragment of a jar, wheel turned, fabric with medium-grained inclusions of sand, big quantity of tiny lime, small quartz grits, porous, rough surface, hard, colour light grey; inv. no. GR/4/00 (Fig. 16. 4);

33 – fragment of a dish, fine fabric with tiny inclusions, without inclusions, rather dense, hard, surface moderate, matt coating inside; inv. no. GR/5/00 (Fig. 16. 5);

34 – stamp LEG I ITAL on a brick/tile; from the grave; inv. no. GR/6/00c (Fig. 16. 6);
35 – terracotta torso of an eagle, fine fabric with small amount of inclusions, soft, crumbling coating, fabric colour orange-red; inv. no. 63/00w; stripe 4, sq. 5, no. 2 (Fig. 16.7); 

36 – coin, *antoninianus* of Probus; AE, Siscia, RIC 644; Av: bust in *corona radiata* right, IMP C M AVR PROBUS AVG; Rv: Probus standing right, receives the globe from Jupiter standing left, CLEMENTIA TEMP, in a field: A, below XXI; inv. no. 62/00w, ca 30 m west from the stripe 1, sq. 10 (Fig. 16.8); 

37 – coin, AE, Elagabalus, *Pautalia* (Thrace); Av: bust laureate right, [AYT K M AYP ATOONELINOC]; Rv: Nemesis standing left, OYAPIAC NAY(AYA)IC; inv. no. 47/00w; stripe 15, sq. 15, no. 2; not illustrated; 

38 – coin, bronze, diam. 2 cm, Thrace, half of 3rd cent. A.D. (Trajan Decius?); inv. no. 12/00w, stripe 23, sq. 24, no. 5; not illustrated; 

39 – arrow spike, bronze; inv. no. 5/00w; stripe 22, sq. 14, no. 4 (Fig. 17.1); 

40 – arrow spike, bronze; inv. no. 10/00w; stripe 23, sq. 25, no. 5 (Fig. 17.2); 

41 – arrow spike, bronze; inv. no. 70/00w; stripe 10, sq. 11, no. 4 (Fig. 17.3); 

42 – iron knife; inv. no. 41/00w; stripe 14, sq. 16, no. 6 (Fig. 17.4); 

43 – iron knife; inv. no. 51/00w; stripe 3, sq. 3, no. 7 (Fig. 17.5); 

44 – bronze ring; inv. no. 44/00w; stripe 18, no accurate localization (Fig. 17.6); 

45 – fragment of a votive plate, marble (Fig. 17.7); 

46 – fragment of a glass bracelet, dark blue glass; inv. no. 39/00w; stripe 12A, sq. 9, no. 4 (Fig. 18.1); 

47 – fragment of a glass bracelet, dark blue glass; inv. no. 16/00w; stripe 23, sq. 9, no. 4 (Fig. 18.2); 

48 – fragment of a glass bracelet, dark blue glass; inv. no. 9/00w; stripe 23, sq. 3, no. 1 (Fig. 18.3); 

49 – coin, bronze, *follis*, anonymous Byzantine emission, 10th-11th cent. A.D.; Av: bust of Christ in a halo *en face*, EMMANOVHIA IX-XC; Rv: IHSVS XristVS BasileVS BasileVS in four lines; inv. no. 25/00w; stripe 3, sq. 4, no. 1 (Fig. 18.4); 

50 – rim of a hand made vessel, wheel turned after modelling, fabric as 47, porous, hard, rough surface, fabric black, surface beige, traces of fire, incised ornament; inv. no. 13/1a/00m (Fig. 18.5); 

51 – fragment of a hand-made vessel, body sherd, fabric with a big quantity of medium-grained inclusions of sand, big quantity of lime, very big quantity of little flecks of mica, porous, rough surface, fabric grey, surface beige to grey, waved ornament; inv. no. 20/1a/00m (Fig. 18.6); 

52 – rim of a hand-made vessel, fabric with big quantity of small sand grits, lime and mica, porous, rough surface, hard, colour greyish-beige; inv. no. 14a/k1/00w (Fig. 18.7); 

53 – fragment of a hand-made vessel, wheel-turned after modelling (?), fabric with big amount of coarse-grained inclusions of sand (small translucent grits), big quantity of lime, tiny mica flecks and red inclusions, porous, hard, rough surface, fabric black, surface greyish-beige, the relief „potter’s stamp”; inv. no. 23/1a/00m (Fig. 18.8). 

**Abbreviations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dacia N.S.</td>
<td>Dacia, Nouvelles séries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSUFF</td>
<td>Godishnik na Sofijskiya Universitet Fakultet po Klasicheski i Novi Filologii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSUIF</td>
<td>Godishnik na Sofijskiya Universitet Istoriko-Filozoficheski Fakultet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAI</td>
<td>Izvestiya na Archeologicheskiya Institut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIMVT</td>
<td>Izvestiya na Istoricheski Muzey Veliko Turnovo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOMVT</td>
<td>Izvestiya na Okruzhnija Muzey Veliko Turnovo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRAIK</td>
<td>Izvestiya Russkogo Arheologicheskogo Instituta v Konstantinopole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIC</td>
<td>Roman Imperial Coinage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHA</td>
<td><em>Sriptores historiae Augustae</em> (Historycy cesarstwa rzymskiego: żywoty cesarzy od Hadriana do Numeriana), H. Szelest trad., Warszawa 1966</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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AGNIESZKA TOMAS (IA UW)

MUNICIPIUM NOVENSIMUM?
REPORT ON THE FIELD SURVEY AT OSTRITE MOGILI, VELIKO TURNOVO DISTRICT

SUMMARY

The article presents field surveys made at the site Ostrite Mogili, 2 km east from Novae, Moesia inferior (present northern Bulgaria). Materials registered during two surveys in 1979 and 2000, as also the localization of the site, indicate, that the settlement was probably a vicus situated beyond the area controlled by the military camp.

During the two field surveys materials visible on the surface (building materials, pottery, other finds) were registered within the area of over 10 ha. On this basis several maps of dispersed materials were drawn. This allowed to reconstruct hypothetical infrastructure of the site.

The results of surveys brought the author to the conclusion, that the site existing from the 1st century A.D. could have been destroyed seriously about the half of the 3rd century A.D. In Late Roman and Early Byzantine period the workshops could have been placed there.
Fig. 1. Surroundings of the castra legionis I Italicae near Svishtov. Registered settlement traces from the Roman period. By A. Tomas

Fig. 2. Ostrite Mogili – plan of the site. By A. Tomas
Fig. 3. Ostrite Mogili. View from the west. Photo by A. Tomas

Fig. 4. Ostrite Mogili. View from the east on the sector between the site and the legionary camp. Photo by A. Tomas
Fig. 5. Scheme of used method and marking the units. Symbols represent different categories of finds. By A. Tomas

Fig. 6. Ostrite Mogili. Concentrations of pottery. Field survey from 1979 and 2000. By A. Tomas
Fig. 7. Ostrite Mogili. Quantities of dated pottery

Fig. 8. Ostrite Mogili. Concentrations of debris. Field survey from 1979 and 2000. By A. Tomas
Fig. 9. Osrzite Mogli 2000. Map of dispersion of the other finds. By A. Tomas

Fig. 10. Amount of some vessel types
Fig. 11. Some legionary camp sites and their surroundings

Fig. 12. Ostrite Mogili. An attempt of reconstruction of infrastructure and building covering. By A. Tomas
Fig. 13. Ostrice Mogili. Fragments of pottery and glass. Nos. 1, 2 – hand-made vessels (cat. nos. 1, 2); nos. 3-9 – imported ware; 10-12 – glass vessels (cat. nos. 10-12). By A. Tomas, T. Sarnowski, photo by J. Reclaw
Fig. 14. Ostrice Mogili. Fragments of pottery (cat. nos. 13-21). By A. Tomas
Fig. 15. Ostrite Mogili. Fragments of glass windows (cat. no. 22) and stamps (cat. nos. 23-28). By A. Tomas, T. Sarnowski
Fig. 16. Ostrite Mogili. Finds from a grave at the western part of the site. Nos. 1-6 – registered grave inventory (cat. nos. 29-33), nos. 7, 8 – finds from the western part of the site (cat. nos. 35, 36). By A. Tomas, photo by J. Reclaw
Fig. 17. Ostrite Mogili. Metal finds (cat. nos. 39-45). By A. Tomas
Fig. 18. Ostrva Mogilj. Glass jewellery and finds from medieval settlement (cat. nos. 46-53). By A. Tomas, photo by J. Reclaw.